UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,416	12/23/2005	Patrick K. Sullivan	HOANA-72375	3877
Craig B Bailey	7590 12/19/200	EXAMINER		
Fulwider Pattor		NATNITHITHADHA, NAVIN		
Howard Hughes Center 10th Floor 6060 Center Drive Los Angeles, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,416	SULLIVAN, PATRICK K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
··· _		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2008 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTC-932)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/562,416 Page 2

Art Unit: 3735

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. According to the Amendment, filed 25 July 2008, the status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11, 14, and 16-26 are currently amended; and Claims 2, 4, 8-10, 12, 13, and 15 are as originally filed.

- 2. The objection to Drawings are WITHDRAWN in view of the Replacement Sheets, filed 25 July 2008.
- 3. The objections to claim 3 is WITHDRAWN in view of the Amendment, filed 25 July 2008.

#### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p. 8, filed 25 July 2008, with respect to the objection of the Substitute Specification, filed 23 February 2006, for not including the Preliminary Amendment, filed 23 December 2005, have been fully considered, and are persuasive. The objection of the disclosure has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, pp. 8-10, filed 25 July 2008, with respect to the objection of the disclosure and claims 1-26 because the Applicant's disclosure and claims uses the word "discretized", which is not a word defined in any dictionary, have been fully considered, and are persuasive. The objections of the disclosure and the claims have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,416 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

6. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p. 11, filed 25 July 2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by John et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,974,598 A ("John"), have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-10, 13-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halperin et al, U.S. Patent No. 7,314,451 B2 ("Halperin").

# <u>Claims 14-23 and 26</u>: Halperin teaches the following:

A radiation stress, non-invasive vital sign monitoring device (see Abstract and figs. 1, 2, 4A-C, 18) comprising:

one or more discretized sensor arrays 110 and 30 for measuring and collecting discretized acoustic or electromechanical signals from a patient (see col. 48, l. 61, to col. 49, l. 6),

a surface on the one or more discretized sensor arrays for engaging a patient (see col. 3, I. 62, to col. 4, I. 16, and col. 4, II. 27-38),

a transmission system for transmitting data collected by the one or more discretized sensor arrays (arrows between motion sensor 30 and/or acoustic sensor 110, see fig. 2),

a receiving device 20 for receiving the transmitted data from the one or more discretized sensor arrays (see fig. 2), and

a computing device 16 connected to the receiving device 20 for calculating values of vital signs of the patient by producing time series data from various discretized sensor array signals (see col. 43, II. 21-32, and col. 44, II. 21-33),

calculating energy spectrum from the time series data (see col. 60, l. 62, to col. 61, l. 29), and

determining variance of each discretized sensor array (see col. 62, I. 49, to col. 62, I. 27);

wherein the vital signs are average, mean, systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure, and hypertension (see col. 32, II. 30-37, and col. 42, II. 14-34);

wherein the patient lies on, stands on, or otherwise contacts the discretized sensor arrays (see fig. 1 and col. 43, II. 32-59);

wherein the discretized sensor arrays collect the discretized acoustic or electromechanical signals over a range of frequencies or a single frequency (see col. 43, II. 21-59);

wherein the discretized sensor arrays collect the discretized acoustic or electromechanical signals in a time domain or frequency domain (see col. 18, II. 45-57);

wherein the computing device calculates a value for vital signs with non-time series methods for determining energy at various array points or a combination of array points (see col. 60, l. 62, to col. 61, l. 29);

wherein the transmission system transmits discretized signals via wire, fiber optics or wirelessly (see fig. 2, and col. 44, II. 34-42);

wherein the discretized sensor arrays provide continuous, real-time monitoring of a patient's vital signs (see col. 53, II. 55-62);

wherein the one or more discretized sensor arrays are not attached to the patient (see fig. 1).

Claims 1-10 and 13: Because the method of claims 1-10 and 13 are not distinct from the apparatus of claims 14-13 and 26, the method of claims 1-10 and 13 are anticipated by Halperin for the same reasons as above for claims 14-23 and 26.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 11, 12, 24, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

<u>Claims 11, 12, 24, and 25</u>: The prior art of record does not teach the method of claim 1 or the device of claim 14, including calculating/computing the momentum flux from data

gathered from the discretized sensor arrays, and calculating/computing a patient's vital signs from the momentum flux.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The other patents cited in the PTO-892 teach subject matter related to the Applicant's claims. The Examiner suggests reviewing these patents before responding to the present Office Action.

11. Applicant's amendment, filed on 25 July 2008, necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,416 Page 7

Art Unit: 3735

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA whose telephone number is

(571)272-4732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am -

5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3735

/N. N./

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3735

12/17/2008